Public Consultation on Critical Raw Materials Act

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

Critical raw materials are key to the green and digital transitions as well as to other EU priorities such as EU resilience and security. They are essential components of green technologies, are used in digital applications, and are crucial to the defence, aerospace and health industries. To secure a sustainable supply, the European Commission has had in place a dedicated strategy since 2008 and put forward an action plan in the 2020 Communication on Critical Raw Materials. Although the action plan is on track, the current context of growing global demand and increasing geopolitical instability creates the need for increased efforts.

In light of the need to reduce dependence on Russian fossil fuels and to speed up progress towards achieving climate neutrality by 2050 as set out by the European Green Deal, the REPowerEU communication announced the urgent acceleration of the energy transition. The European Council's Versailles Declaration of March 2022 called to secure EU supply of critical raw materials, particularly by building on the strengths of the Single Market. Similarly, the European Parliament called for an EU strategy for critical raw materials in its November 2021 resolution. The REPowerEU communication and the Joint Communication on the Defence Investment Gaps Analysis and Way Forward announced in May 2022 that actions, including by legislative means, will strengthen EU resilience and security of supply of critical raw materials.

Against this background, the President of the European Commission, Ursula von der Leyen, in her State of the Union speech, announced a European Critical Raw Materials Act, notably to identify strategic projects all along the value chain and to build up strategic reserves where supply is at risk. In parallel, the President announced the pursuit of supply diversification through Free Trade Agreements and new partnerships to advance the EU's vital interests and values. This includes ensuring undistorted trade and investment as well as developing industrial and cooperation actions with like-minded partners and resource rich countries.

This initiative will hence take the form of a package consisting of regulatory and non-regulatory actions.

The objective of the Act could be to reinforce the EU's monitoring capacity and help secure a sustainable supply of diverse critical raw materials. For that purpose, the initiative should seek to strengthen the European value chain through the identification of mineral resources and of critical raw materials projects in the European strategic interest, while ensuring a high level of environmental protection, including projects that promote a circular economy and resource efficiency through the uptake of secondary raw materials.

Further background can be found in the Call for Evidence.

This public consultation aims at offering the general public and relevant stakeholders the opportunity to provide input for a legislative proposal aimed at securing the EU's supply of critical raw materials. The responses, together with evidence gathered from different sources including desk research and other targeted consultations, will provide an analytical basis for the planned legislative proposal.

Scope:

- "Critical raw materials", when mentioned in the consultation, refers not only to the list of critical raw materials published in 2020, but can also include other strategic raw materials (e.g. copper).
 However, please note that energy raw materials (e.g. coal) and agricultural raw materials (e.g. wheat) do not fall under the scope of this consultation;
- "Critical raw materials projects", when mentioned in the consultation, refer to projects all along the critical raw materials value chain: they can include exploration, extraction, refining, processing or recycling activities.

The questionnaire is divided into the following parts:

- 1) About you
- 2) Challenges for securing sustainable supply of critical raw materials
- 3) Possible measures to ensure a secure and sustainable supply of critical raw materials

The deadline for replies is 25 November 2022.

You can send any additional information that you consider relevant to this consultation to the mailbox GROW-I1@ec.europa.eu , indicating 'public consultation critical raw materials' in the subject of your email.

Thank you for your cooperation.

About you

*Language of my contribution

- Bulgarian
- Croatian
- Czech
- Danish
- Dutch
- English
- Estonian
- Finnish
- French
- German

- Greek
- Hungarian
- Irish
- Italian
- Latvian
- Lithuanian
- Maltese
- Polish
- Portuguese
- Romanian
- Slovak
- Slovenian
- Spanish
- Swedish
- * I am giving my contribution as
 - Academic/research institution
 - Business association
 - Company/business organisation
 - Consumer organisation
 - EU citizen
 - Environmental organisation
 - Non-EU citizen
 - Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
 - Public authority
 - Trade union
 - Other

* First name

Lorenzo

*Surname

Livraghi

* Email (this won't be published)

* Country of origin

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

This list does not represent the official position of the European institutions with regard to the legal status or policy of the entities mentioned. It is a harmonisation of often divergent lists and practices.

	a IIa		gei	,		
Afghanistan	0	Djibouti	0	Libya	0	Saint Martin
Åland Islands	\bigcirc	Dominica	\bigcirc	Liechtenstein	\odot	Saint Pierre and
						Miquelon
Albania	0	Dominican	0	Lithuania	0	Saint Vincent
		Republic				and the
						Grenadines
Algeria	0	Ecuador	0	Luxembourg	0	Samoa
American Samoa	0	Egypt	\bigcirc	Macau	\bigcirc	San Marino
Andorra	۲	El Salvador	۲	Madagascar	0	São Tomé and
						Príncipe
Angola	\bigcirc	Equatorial Guinea	Ô	Malawi	\bigcirc	Saudi Arabia
Anguilla	\bigcirc	Eritrea	۲	Malaysia	\bigcirc	Senegal
Antarctica	\bigcirc	Estonia	\bigcirc	Maldives	\bigcirc	Serbia
Antigua and	۲	Eswatini	۲	Mali	\bigcirc	Seychelles
Barbuda						
Argentina	۲	Ethiopia	۲	Malta	\bigcirc	Sierra Leone
Armenia	۲	Falkland Islands	۲	Marshall Islands	\bigcirc	Singapore
Aruba	۲	Faroe Islands	۲	Martinique	\bigcirc	Sint Maarten
Australia	۲	Fiji	۲	Mauritania	\bigcirc	Slovakia
Austria	۲	Finland	۲	Mauritius	\bigcirc	Slovenia
Azerbaijan	۲	France	۲	Mayotte	\bigcirc	Solomon Islands
Bahamas	\bigcirc	French Guiana	۲	Mexico	\bigcirc	Somalia
Bahrain	۲	French Polynesia	۲	Micronesia	\bigcirc	South Africa
Bangladesh	\bigcirc	French Southern	۲	Moldova	\bigcirc	South Georgia
		and Antarctic				and the South
		Lands				Sandwich
						Islands
Barbados	۲	Gabon	۲	Monaco	\bigcirc	South Korea
Belarus	0	Georgia	۲	Mongolia	\bigcirc	South Sudan

Belgium	Germany	Montenegro	Spain
Belize	Ghana	Montserrat	Sri Lanka
Benin	Gibraltar	Morocco	Sudan
Bermuda	Greece	Mozambique	Suriname
Bhutan	Greenland	Myanmar/Burma	a [©] Svalbard and
			Jan Mayen
Bolivia	Grenada	Namibia	Sweden
Bonaire Saint	Guadeloupe	Nauru	Switzerland
Eustatius and			
Saba			
Bosnia and	Guam	Nepal	Syria
Herzegovina			
Botswana	Guatemala	Netherlands	Taiwan
Bouvet Island	Guernsey	New Caledonia	Tajikistan
Brazil	Guinea	New Zealand	Tanzania
British Indian	Guinea-Bissau	Nicaragua	Thailand
Ocean Territory			
British Virgin	Guyana	Niger	The Gambia
Islands			
Brunei	Haiti	Nigeria	Timor-Leste
Bulgaria	Heard Island and	d [©] Niue	Togo
	McDonald Island	ls	
Burkina Faso	Honduras	Norfolk Island	Tokelau
Burundi	Hong Kong	Northern	Tonga
		Mariana Islands	i
Cambodia	Hungary	North Korea	Trinidad and
			Tobago
Cameroon	Iceland	North Macedoni	a [©] Tunisia
Canada	India	Norway	Turkey
Cape Verde	Indonesia	Oman	Turkmenistan
Cayman Islands	Iran	Pakistan	Turks and
			Caicos Islands
Central African	Iraq	Palau	Tuvalu
Republic			
Chad	Ireland	Palestine	Uganda

Chile	Isle of Man	Panama Ukraine
China	Israel	Papua New United Arab
		Guinea Emirates
Christmas Island	Italy	Paraguay Onited Kingdom
Clipperton	Jamaica	Peru United States
Cocos (Keeling)	Japan	Philippines United States
Islands		Minor Outlying
_	-	Islands
Colombia	Jersey	Pitcairn Islands Uruguay
Comoros	Jordan	Poland US Virgin Islands
Congo	Kazakhstan	Portugal Uzbekistan
Cook Islands	Kenya	Puerto Rico Vanuatu
Costa Rica	Kiribati	Qatar Vatican City
Côte d'Ivoire	Kosovo	Réunion Venezuela
Croatia	Kuwait	Romania Vietnam
Cuba	Kyrgyzstan	Russia Wallis and
		Futuna
Curaçao	Laos	Rwanda Western Sahara
Cyprus	Latvia	Saint Barthélemy Semen
Czechia	Lebanon	Saint Helena Zambia
		Ascension and
		Tristan da Cunha
Democratic	Lesotho	Saint Kitts and Simbabwe
Republic of the		Nevis
Congo		
Denmark	Liberia	Saint Lucia

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

2) Challenges for securing sustainable supply of critical raw materials

General Challenges

To what extent do you agree with the following statements?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	l don't know / no opinion
a. The potential to extract critical raw materials from primary sources in the EU is currently underexploited.	O	0	0	O	0	۲
b. The EU's processing and refining capacities for critical raw materials are currently insufficient.	O	0	O	O	O	0
c. The potential to re-use or recycle critical raw materials from waste is currently underexploited in the EU.	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. The potential to diversify the EU's external supply of critical raw materials is currently underexploited.	0	0	0	O	O	0
e. The potential to substitute critical raw materials is currently underexploited in the EU.	0	0	0	0	0	۲
f. The potential to increase efficiency of critical raw materials use is currently underexploited in the EU.	0	0	0	0	0	0
g. Industry is not able to tackle critical raw materials sourcing challenges on the global market without further EU policy intervention.	0	0	0	0	0	0
h. Current EU policies on critical raw materials are insufficient to effectively manage supply chain disruptions, shortages and price hikes.	0	0	0	©	O	0

Current EU Policies

To what extent do you agree that the **existing EU policy actions** contribute to secure the EU's supply of critical raw materials?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	l don't know / no opinion
a. Establishing and updating the critical raw materials list.	0	0	0	0	0	0
b. Developing the European Raw Materials Alliance.	0	۲	0	0	0	0
c. Concluding Strategic partnerships with resource rich third countries.	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Developing a transparent and stable trade framework for facilitating trade in raw materials, including through free trade agreements with resource rich third countries.	0	0	0	0	0	0
e. Funding research and innovation on resource efficiency, recycling and substitution of critical raw materials through Horizon Europe.	0	0	0	0	0	0
f. Developing and coordinating knowledge through research projects, such as the raw materials information system (https://rmis.jrc.ec.europa.eu/).	0	0	0	0	0	0

Supply chain vulnerability

Monitoring

To what extent do the following statements related to the monitoring of supply correctly describe the challenges in this field?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	l do not know / no opinion
a. Companies would benefit from public monitoring activities to inform them about risks of supply chains disruption.	0	0	0	0	O	0
b. Companies lack sufficient information on supply risks for them to take preventive measures.	0	0	0	0	O	0
c. Companies generally do not have in place contingency plans to deal with supply disruptions.	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Member State authorities do not have in place sufficient monitoring mechanisms allowing them to assess supply risks and anticipate problems.	۲	0	0	0	0	۲
e. Member State authorities do not sufficiently cooperate and coordinate in monitoring critical raw materials supply chains.	0	0	0	0	0	۲

EU value chain

To what extent do you agree that the following challenges affect the roll out of critical raw materials projects (mining, refining and recycling) in the EU?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	l do not know / no opinion
a. Difficulty to access private financing for critical raw materials projects.	0	0	©	0	0	O

b. Difficulty to access public funding in the EU for critical raw materials projects.	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. Lack of technical standards for processes along the critical raw materials value chain.	0	0	O	0	0	O
d. Fear of reputational damage for companies.	0	0	0	0	0	۲
e. Lack of or limited public acceptance for new critical raw materials projects in EU.	0	0	O	0	0	O
f. Unfair competition from third countries.	0		O	0	0	٢
g. Price volatility and unpredictability.	0	0	O	0	0	٥
h. Lack of skilled workforce to design and operate critical raw materials projects.	0	0	0	0	0	0
i. Lack of available technologies to carry out critical raw materials projects in line with the required environmental standards.	O	0	O	O	O	0

If necessary, please further explain the consequences of the challenges encountered by critical raw materials projects, specifying for which stages of the value they apply.

You can also specify any additional challenge not listed in the previous question.

Permitting

Do you agree with the following statements regarding the permitting procedures for critical raw materials projects? Please specify which Member State or third country you refer to and for which type of projects (e.g. write "Country Y, recycling project" in the "Agree" box).

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	l do not know / No opinion
a. National permitting						
requirements and						
processes are not						
clear for permitting						
applicants						
b. National permitting						
processes are too						
lengthy						
c. Permitting						
requirements on local,						
regional and national						
level are too different						

Circularity

To what extent do you agree with the following statement regarding the circularity of critical raw materials projects in the EU?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	l do not know / No opinion
a. EU product legislation does not sufficiently incentivize the use of secondary raw materials in products.	©	0	O	©	©	0
b. EU waste legislation does not sufficiently incentivise the recovery and recycling of raw materials.	O	0	0	0	O	©
c. Shipments of waste containing critical raw materials between Member States are difficult, which limits possibilities for viable recycling projects.	0	0	0	۲	۲	0
d. Export of waste containing critical raw materials increases the shortage of critical raw materials in the EU and limits potential for circularity.	O	0	0	O	O	O

3) Possible measures to ensure a secure and sustainable supply of critical raw materials

Strengthening the monitoring, risk management and governance for critical raw materials supply chain in Europe

To strengthen the resilience of the supply chains of critical raw materials in the EU, new tools could be developed. To what extent do you agree that the following possible measures can help strengthen supply chain resilience?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	l do not know / No opinion
--	-------------------	-------	---------	----------	----------------------	--

a. Enhanced cooperation between national authorities or agencies in charge of critical raw materials (data exchange, common monitoring, etc.).	0	0	0	O	O	٢
b. A dedicated EU-wide governance capacity tasked with monitoring actions and strengthening the EU value chain.	0	0	0	0	0	O
c. Better access for companies to monitoring information at EU or at national level to enable them to identify vulnerabilities in their supply chains.	O	0	0	0	0	0
d. Periodic supply chain stress testing of selected critical raw materials at EU level to better anticipate supply chain disruptions.	۲	۲	0	0	0	0
e. Early warnings by public authorities of imminent vulnerability of a supply chain.	0	0	0	0	0	O
f. Provisions to increase strategic stocks of critical raw materials at EU, national or industry level.	0	0	O	0	0	0

If you have suggestion of other measures to strengthen the resilience of the EU critical raw materials supply chains, please specify.

To ensure a better monitoring of critical raw materials, it would be useful for public authorities to monitor more closely:

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	l do not know / No opinion
a. Prices of critical raw materials.	0	0	0	0	0	۲
b. Demand developments at national, European and global levels.	0	O	©	0	0	O

c. Supply developments of critical raw materials (including forecasting).	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Trade flows of critical raw materials.	0	0	۲	0	0	۲
e. Progress of important critical raw materials projects in the EU.	0	0	0	0	0	0

If you have suggestions of other areas to monitor, please specify.

How do you consider that efforts to increase strategic stocks should be organised?

- Mandatory storage or strategic stocks by industry.
- Mandatory storage or strategic stocks by Member States' public bodies.
- EU level guidance on voluntary storage or strategic stocks to be organised at national level, as appropriate (by public and/or private actors).
- Storage or strategic stocks by an EU level body through joint procurement.
- EU level guidance on rationing/redistributing stocks.
- EU rules for mandatory redistribution of stocks in view of supply and demand.
- Other.

Strengthening the critical raw materials supply chain in Europe

To what extent do you agree that the following policy options could help strengthen the EU critical raw materials value chain?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	l do not know / No opinion
a. A specific list of raw materials of strategic importance for the EU (and thus deserving priority attention) to guide investment decisions of companies and financial institutions.	۲	0	0	۲	۲	٢
b. A specific list of raw materials of strategic importance for the EU (and thus deserving priority attention) to target public support at EU and national level.	O	0	0	0	0	۲

c. Setting EU objectives for increasing domestic production capacity along different stages of the value chain (exploration, extraction, refining, recycling) to guide national and European efforts (i.e. in relation to the materials on the list mentioned under a.).	©	O	O	O	©	O
d. Identifying Strategic Projects across the critical raw materials value chain with strong environmental and social performance and contributing to reducing EU dependencies.	0	0	0	O	©	©
e. Ensuring that these Strategic Projects benefit from streamlined and quicker permitting procedures.	0	0	0	0	0	O
f. Ensuring that these Strategic Projects benefit from easier access to public and private finance.	0	0	O	0	0	O
g. Ensuring that Strategic Projects and Partnerships initiatives outside of the EU also benefit from de-risking if they comply with social and environmental standards and can contribute to securing EU supply.	O	0	0	O	O	O

Investment

To what extent do you agree that the following policy options would facilitate access to finance for critical raw materials projects?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	l do not know / No opinion
--	-------------------	-------	---------	----------	----------------------	--

a. Public support to bring together downstream users and project promoters to facilitate the conclusion of long-term supply contracts and offtake agreements.	©	©	©	©	©	O
b. Target existing EU funds that have the possibility to finance critical raw materials projects of particular strategic relevance identified under the future legislation.	0	0	0	۲	۲	٢
c. Increased financial support from national and European promotional banks for critical raw materials projects.	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. A dedicated financial platform to de-risk critical raw materials projects that meet certain requirements (e.g., high environmental and social standards, while contributing to EU supply) by blending private, national and EU funding.	0	0	0	O	O	O
e. Explore opportunities offered by the existing State aid rules for critical raw materials projects, such as Important Projects of Common European Interest, State aid Framework for research, development and innovation, Regional Aid Guidelines, Guidelines on State aid for Climate, Environmental protection and Energy or relevant State aid General Block Exemption Regulation provisions.	0	0	O	O	٢	O
f. Support a level playing field to promote transparency and good governance with partner countries, aiming to gather sustainable and responsible investments and partnerships in the sector.	©	0	O	O	O	O

Do you foresee any other option to facilitate access to finance for critical raw materials projects?

Sustainability

Would you agree that products containing critical raw materials should be accompanied with information on the environmental footprint of producing those materials?

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree
- I do not know / No opinion

Would you agree that products containing critical raw materials should be accompanied with information on the ethical sourcing of those materials?

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree
- I do not know / No opinion

Which measures would you propose to increase resource efficiency and reduce the pressure on primary raw material consumption?

Substitution of critical raw materials is an important way to mitigate the demand needs. Which actions should be further pursued to develop substitution?

- Strengthened support for EU research and innovation funding on substitution.
- Strengthened cooperation across Member States on the research for substitution.
- Strengthened public-private cooperation on the deployment of substitution alternatives.

Strengthened private-private cooperation (horizontal or vertical) on the development of substitution alternatives (in accordance with competition law and parameters).

Other.

Which measures or techniques would contribute to lower the environmental impact of extraction?

Circularity

Would the following measures help develop the market for secondary raw materials in the EU, hence lowering the pressure on demand for primary raw materials?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	l do not know / no opinion
a. Developing targets for waste operators related to the recycling efficiency of critical raw materials from different waste streams (electronics, vehicles, etc.).	0	0	0	۲	0	O
b. Developing targets for waste operators related to the material recovery of critical raw materials from different waste streams (electronics, vehicles, mining waste etc.).	۲	0	0	0	۲	O
c. Requiring Member State to design waste management plans including specific measures to promote the recovery of critical raw materials, from historical mining waste.	0	0	0	۲	۲	©
d. Setting design requirements for products containing critical raw materials to increase their ease of dismantling and recycling.	O	0	0	O	O	©
e. Setting minimum content of recycled critical raw materials in relevant products.	0	O	O	0	0	O

f. Setting design requirements for products containing critical raw materials to increase the length of product life.	©	O	O	O	©	©
g. Strengthening support for EU research and innovation funding on recycling and material recovery technologies for critical raw materials.	O	0	0	0	O	0
h. Strengthening exploration and documentation of critical raw materials in secondary deposits and waste streams.	0	0	0	0	0	o

Are there any other policy options that would facilitate the circularity of critical raw materials?

International dimension

Securing the EU supply of critical raw materials implies further diversification of EU sourcing, given the present high concentration of origin of EU imports for many critical raw materials. The EU action plan on critical raw materials adopted in 2020 includes an action to develop partnerships with resource rich countries, with the aim of ensuring diversification of sourcing. The EU has already concluded Strategic Partnerships with third countries (with Canada and Ukraine) to further integrate the partner countries' supply chain with the EU and is negotiating others.

In parallel, the EU has a vast network of trade agreements with important disciplines on export restrictions, investment facilitation etc. In addition, some finalised agreements (e.g. with UK) and ongoing negotiations (e.g. with Chile, Australia) include additional provisions on Energy and Raw materials in dedicated chapters.

To what extent do you agree with the following statements?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	l do not know / No opinion
a. The EU lacks a strategy for increasing the diversification of EU industry supplies from third countries.	©	O	۲	0	©	O

b. The potential to extract critical raw materials from primary sources in third countries is currently underexploited.	O	0	0	0	0	0
c. The global processing and refining capacities for critical raw materials are currently insufficient.	©	0	O	©	©	0
d. The exchanges and cooperation between Third Countries and EU/ Member States authorities on critical raw materials (data exchange, common monitoring, etc.) are not sufficient.	O	0	0	0	0	۲
e. The extraction of critical raw materials from primary sources in third countries contributes to environmental degradation.	0	0	O	0	O	o

What are the underlying reasons for supply chains disturbances and supply constraints of raw materials?

- Insufficient global production.
- Increased geopolitical tensions.
- Market manipulation.
- Volatile trading conditions.
- Untransparent market mechanisms.
- Other.

To what extent do you agree that the following policy statements can help support the EU's global efforts to secure critical raw materials?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	l do not know / No opinion
a. The EU should strengthen the ongoing strategic partnerships on critical raw materials and conclude additional ones with resource rich third countries	©	0	0	0	O	©

b. Such partnerships should rely on clearly established pillars, such as the integration of raw materials value chain, research and innovation cooperation and alignment on environmental, social, and governance (ESG) criteria and standards.	O	0	0	۲	O	O
c. Partnerships on raw materials value chains with developing countries need to include a pillar for capacity building, skills and training and one for deploying infrastructure.	۲	0	0	0	O	۲
d. The EU should diversify its global supply through its free- trade agreements and trade relations.	0	0	0	0	O	0
e. The provisions (on investment, licencing and standardization) in dedicated Energy and Raw materials Chapters in the latest trade agreements have the necessary disciplines to unlock the potential in opening access and encouraging investment in raw materials.	O	O	0	O	©	©

If you have suggestions on other instruments that should be used to diversify the EU's global supply, please specify.

Which of the following barriers, often adopted by third countries, have the most trade distorting effect on your business?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	l do not know/ no opinion
a. Export taxes.	0		0	0	0	\odot
b. Export licencing.	0	0	0	0	0	۲
c. Export pricing (dual pricing, minimum export prices).	O	O	O	O	O	۲

d. Denial of VAT tax rebates when exporting.	0	0	O	0	0	٥
e. Restrictions on customs clearance points for exports.	0	O	O	0	0	0
f. Export monopolies / qualified exporters lists.	0	O	O	0	0	0
g. Domestic market obligations.	0	0	0	0	0	۲
h. Other trade barriers.	0	0	0	0	0	۲

Skills

A skilled workforce is indispensable to the strengthening of the EU value chain. In your opinion, which public action (at local, national or European levels) could help tackle the skills challenge of the EU raw materials value chain?

If you wish to share any further information or opinion on the one of topics raised above, please do so below:

To share information relevant to this survey, please upload your file(s).

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

Thank you for the time taken to participate to this survey.

Contact

Grow-i1@ec.europa.eu